Case 3:13-cr-00226-CRB Document 4 Filed 04/12/13 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 13-226 - CRB
Plaintiff, v. ADAM RAY BOURN	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY FRALACT O
Defendant.	APR 1 2 2013
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record of Speedy Trial Act from, 2013 to, 2013 to, by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding and be	RICHARD W. WIEKING NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT 1 4 12 , 2013, the Court excludes the count of justice served public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § ases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
or law, that it is unreasonable to expe	the number of rosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of du	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case comm See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would unecessary for effective preparation, tal See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 4(2(20, 3)	LAUREL BEELHR United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Bejolich Fall	- tila
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney